## Attachment C: Full Proposal Scoring Rubric

Project Title: \_\_\_\_\_ Reviewer ID: \_\_\_\_\_ Review Group ID: \_\_\_\_

Criteria	Sub- Criteria	Description	Sub- Criteria Max Points	SCORE	COMMENTS
1. Research Priority	1A	A proposal directly related to the priorities established by the Commissioner based upon RAP recommendations will score higher.	10		
2. Identification of Need, Opportunity, and Justification	2A	Proposal has potential importance and benefits, including economic, for the <b>Maine</b> agricultural community.	10		
	2B	Proposal meets the needs of agricultural producers impacted by PFAS contamination.	20		
3. Deliverables	3A	Project deliverables clearly stated	5		
	3B	Plan to distribute research results specified	5		
	3C	Proposal includes an intention and budget to publish results in an open access journal	5		
4. Project Methodology and Schedule	4A	The methodology must be clear and scientifically valid	15		
	4B	The project timetable is realistic	5		
5. Project Management	5A	The proposed work is consistent with the qualifications and abilities of the persons involved	7		
	5B	The proposed work includes collaborations with multiple institutions	2		
	5C	The proposed work includes collaborations with agricultural service providers.	2		
	5D	Project is conducted within the State of Maine or by a Maine-based institution	4		
6. Budget	6A	Proposals must be able to show clear and realistic descriptions of how the funds will be allocated.	7		
	6B	Projects leverages funding from other sources.	3		

Project Title:	Reviewer ID:	Review Group ID:
Optional: provide any additional overall comments or feedback:		
$\Box$ I attest I have no conflict of interest, per the rules outlined in the	ne Reviewers Instructions.	